Answer to SAC - Case No. C07-03209 HRL

Document 11

Filed 09/12/2007

Page 1 of 4

Case 5:07-cv-03209-JF

Gordon & Rees LLP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7.	Defendant admits the	allegations	in this	paragraph.

- 8. Defendant has insufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
- 9. Defendant has insufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
- 10. Defendant has insufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
 - 11. Defendant admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 12. Defendant admits only the contents of the documents referred to in this paragraph. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant has insufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
- 13. Defendant admits only what is contained in the tenure review file. Except as expressly admitted, Defendant has insufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
 - 14. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 15. Defendant admits the allegations in this paragraph.
 - 16. Defendant admits the allegations in this paragraph.
- 17. Defendant denies that plaintiff was "demoted." Following the tenure denial, Plaintiff served out his terminal year, after which he had no right to further employment. He was then given a new temporary appointment as a part-time lecturer.
 - 18. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.
- 19. Defendant has insufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.
- 20. Defendant has insufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph and on that basis denies them.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

21. Defendant refers to and incorporates by reference its answer to paragraph 1-20 above.

	Case 5:07-cv-03209-JF Document 11 Filed 09/12/2007 Page 3 of 4				
1	22. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.				
2	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION				
3					
4	23. Defendant refers to and incorporates by reference its answer to paragraph 1-22 above.				
5	24. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.				
6	DAMAGES DAMAGES				
7	25. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph.				
8					
9	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
10	Defendant alleges that said complaint and each of the purported causes of action or				
11	claims for relief set forth therein fail to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action				
12	against this answering defendant.				
13					
14	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Defendant alleges that said complaint is barred by the applicable statutes of limitation.				
15	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
16					
17	Defendant alleges that, by reason of prior administrative adjudications, Plaintiff is barred from proceeding with this action according to the principles of collateral estoppel.				
18	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
19	Defendant alleges that plaintiff has failed to timely and fully exhaust his administrative				
20	remedies as required by law.				
21	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
22	Defendant alleges that by reason of his own actions, Plaintiff is estopped from proceeding				
23	with this claim.				
24	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE				
25	Defendant alleges that Plaintiff's claims may not be maintained to the extent they exceed				
26	or differ from the allegations of an administrative charge filed with the appropriate				
27	administrative agency.				
28	administrative agency.				

Embarcadero Center West 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111

Gordon & Rees LLP

1

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 Embarcadero Center West

Gordon & Rees LLP

San Francisco, CA 94111

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant alleges that said complaint is barred by the doctrine of laches. 3

RESERVATION OF ADDITIONAL DEFENSES

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant reserves the right to allege other affirmative defenses as they may arise during the course of discovery. Defendant further asserts that it is entitled to various privileges, immunities, and affirmative defenses as the same are set forth in the case law and statutes of the State of California. The full nature and extent of such privileges, immunities and affirmative defenses applicable hereto are presently unknown to this answering defendant and therefore, defendant reserves the right to amend this answer when the same have become fully ascertained and known.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment as follows:

- That this action be dismissed in its entirety, with prejudice; 1.
- 2. That judgment be entered in favor of Defendant and against Plaintiff;
- That Defendant be awarded its costs of suit and attorneys' fees incurred herein; 3.
- 4. For such and other further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL RESERVATION

Defendant hereby reserves its right to a jury trial.

September 12, 2007 Dated: GORDON & REES, LLP

> By: /s/ Michael A. Laurenson Michael A. Laurenson Attorneys for Defendant BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY

CSU/1046288/5100413v.1